

A Translation of OCaml GADTs into Coq

Pedro da Costa Abreu Junior
Purdue University
September 24, 2024

Nomadic Labs



nomadic labs

Tezos



nomadic labs



Tezos

Michelson



nomadic labs



Tezos
Michelson

Coq-Of-Ocaml



nomadic labs



Tezos

Michelson

coq-of-ocaml

Problem

How to Correctly Translate OCaml GADTs as Coq Inductive Datatypes?

Problem

How to Correctly Translate OCaml GADTs as Coq Inductive Datatypes?

- GADTs

Problem

How to Correctly Translate OCaml GADTs as Coq Inductive Datatypes?

- GADTs
- Inductive Types (with dependent types)

Problem

How to Correctly Translate OCaml GADTs as Coq Inductive Datatypes?

- GADTs
- Inductive Types (with dependent types)
- Compiler Correctness

Example of an ADT

```
type term =  
  | T_Int : nat -> term  
  | T_Bool : bool -> term  
  | T_Add : term * term -> term
```

Example of an ADT

```
type term =  
  | T_Int : nat -> term  
  | T_Bool : bool -> term  
  | T_Add : term * term -> term  
  
let get_bool (bexp : term) : bool option = function  
  match bexp with  
  | T_Bool b -> Some b  
  | _ -> None
```

Example of GADT

```
type _ term =  
  | T_Int : nat -> nat term  
  | T_Bool : bool -> bool term  
  | T_Add : nat term * nat term -> nat term
```

Example of GADT

```
type _ term =  
  | T_Int : nat -> nat term  
  | T_Bool : bool -> bool term  
  | T_Add : nat term * nat term -> nat term  
  
let get_bool (bexp : bool term) : bool = function  
  match bexp with  
  | T_Bool b -> b
```

Example of GADT

```
type _ term =  
  | T_Int : nat -> nat term  
  | T_Bool : bool -> bool term  
  | T_Add : nat term * nat term -> nat term
```

Example of GADT

```
type _ term =  
  | T_Int : nat -> nat term  
  | T_Bool : bool -> bool term  
  | T_Add : nat term * nat term -> nat term  
  
let rec eval (type a) (t : a term) : a =  
  match t with  
  | T_Int n -> n  
  | T_Bool b -> b  
  | T_Add (x, y) -> (eval x) + (eval y)
```

Impossible Branches in Coq

```
Inductive term : Set → Type :=  
| T_int : nat → term nat  
| T_bool: bool → term bool  
| T_add : term nat → term nat → term nat .
```


Impossible Branches in Coq

```
Inductive term : Set → Type :=  
| T_int : nat → term nat  
| T_bool : bool → term bool  
| T_add : term nat → term nat → term nat .
```

```
Definition get_bool (t : term bool) : bool :=  
  match t with  
  | T_bool b ⇒ b  
  end.
```

Impossible Branches in Coq

```
Inductive term : Set → Type :=  
| T_int : nat → term nat  
| T_bool : bool → term bool  
| T_add : term nat → term nat → term nat .
```

```
Definition get_bool (t : term bool) : bool :=  
  match t with  
  | T_bool b ⇒ b  
  end.
```

Error: Non exhaustive pattern-matching: no clause found for pattern
T_int _

Impossible Branches in Coq

```
Inductive term : Set → Type :=  
| T_int : nat → term nat  
| T_bool: bool → term bool  
| T_add : term nat → term nat → term nat .
```

```
Axiom unreachable_gadt_branch : forall (A : Type), A.
```

Impossible Branches in Coq

```
Inductive term : Set → Type :=  
| T_int : nat → term nat  
| T_bool: bool → term bool  
| T_add : term nat → term nat → term nat .
```

```
Axiom unreachable_gadt_branch : forall (A : Type), A.
```

```
Definition get_bool (t : term bool) : bool :=  
  match t with  
  | T_bool b ⇒ b  
  | _ ⇒ unreachable_gadt_branch  
end.
```

Dependent Pattern Matching

```
Inductive term : Set → Type :=  
| T_int : nat → term nat  
| T_bool : bool → term bool  
| T_add : term nat → term nat → term nat .
```

```
Definition get_bool (t : term bool) : bool :=  
  match t in term A return A = bool → bool with  
  ...  
  end eq_refl.
```

Dependent Pattern Matching

```
Inductive term : Set → Type :=  
| T_int : nat → term nat  
| T_bool : bool → term bool  
| T_add : term nat → term nat → term nat .
```

```
Definition get_bool (t : term bool) : bool :=  
  match t in term A return A = bool → bool with  
  | T_bool b ⇒ fun (h : bool = bool) ⇒ b  
  ...  
  end. eq_refl.
```

Dependent Pattern Matching

```
Inductive term : Set → Type :=  
| T_int : nat → term nat  
| T_bool : bool → term bool  
| T_add : term nat → term nat → term nat .
```

```
Definition get_bool (t : term bool) : bool :=  
  match t in term A return A = bool → bool with  
  | T_bool b ⇒ fun _ ⇒ b  
  | _ ⇒ fun (h : nat = bool) ⇒  
    Principle of Explosion  
end eq_refl.
```

Dependent Pattern Matching

Inductive term : Set → Type :=

| T_int : nat → term nat

| T_bool: bool → term bool

| T_add : term nat → term nat → term nat .

Lemma bnat_neq : nat <> bool. **Proof.** ... **Qed.**

Dependent Pattern Matching

```
Inductive term : Set → Type :=  
| T_int : nat → term nat  
| T_bool : bool → term bool  
| T_add : term nat → term nat → term nat .
```

Lemma bnat_neq : nat <> bool. Proof. ... Qed.

```
Definition get_bool (t : term bool) : bool :=  
  match t in term A return A = bool → bool with  
  | T_bool b ⇒ fun _ ⇒ b  
  | _ ⇒ fun (h : nat = bool) ⇒  
    ltac:(apply False_ind; apply (bnat_neq h))  
  end eq_refl.
```

GADTs \neq Inductive Types

```
type _ udu =  
  | Unit : unit udu  
  | Double_unit : (unit * unit) udu  
  
let unit_twelve (x : unit udu) =  
  match x with  
  | Unit -> 12
```

GADTs \neq Inductive Types

```
Inductive udu : Set → Type :=  
  | Unit : udu unit  
  | Double_unit : udu (unit * unit).
```

GADTs \neq Inductive Types

```
Inductive udu : Set → Type :=  
  | Unit : udu unit  
  | Double_unit : udu (unit * unit).
```

```
Definition unit_twelve (x : udu unit) : nat.  
  refine(match x in udu T return T = unit → nat with  
    | Unit ⇒ fun h ⇒ 12  
    | Double_unit ⇒ fun (h : unit * unit = unit) ⇒ _  
  end eq_refl).
```

GADTs \neq Inductive Types

However, $\text{unit} * \text{unit} = \text{unit}$ in Homotopy Type Theory. Since we know that HTT is consistent with CIC, we cannot discharge this impossible branch.

GADTs \neq Inductive Types

The heart of the problem is that in OCaml, if two types have different declarations, they're automatically considered different from each other.

GADTs \neq Inductive Types

The heart of the problem is that in OCaml, if two types have different declarations, they're automatically considered different from each other.

...

GADTs \neq Inductive Types

The heart of the problem is that in OCaml, if two types have different declarations, they're automatically considered different from each other.

...

But that's not necessarily true in Coq.

GADTs \neq Inductive Types

The heart of the problem is that in OCaml, if two types have different declarations, they're automatically considered different from each other.

...

But that's not necessarily true in Coq.

The main goal of my MSc Thesis is to bridge this gap!

A Universe for GADTs

We begin by embedding every type constructor used by a GADT into a new type GSet.

A Universe for GADTs

We begin by embedding every type constructor used by a GADT into a new type GSet.

```
Inductive GSet : Set :=  
| G_arrow : GSet → GSet → GSet  
| G_tuple : GSet → GSet → GSet  
| G_tconstr : nat → Set → GSet.
```

A Universe for GADTs

We begin by embedding every type constructor used by a GADT into a new type GSet.

```
Inductive GSet : Set :=  
| G_arrow : GSet → GSet → GSet  
| G_tuple : GSet → GSet → GSet  
| G_tconstr : nat → Set → GSet.
```

```
Fixpoint decodeG (s : GSet) : Set :=  
  match s with  
  | G_tconstr s t ⇒ t  
  | G_arrow t1 t2 ⇒ decodeG t1 → decodeG t2  
  | G_tuple t1 t2 ⇒ (decodeG t1) * (decodeG t2)  
  end.
```

A Universe for GADTs

Definition `G_unit := G_tconstr 0 unit.`

Inductive `udu : GSet → Set :=`
| `Unit : udu G_unit`
| `Double_unit : udu (G_tuple G_unit G_unit).`

A Universe for GADTs

Definition `G_unit := G_tconstr 0 unit.`

Inductive `udu : GSet → Set :=`
| `Unit : udu G_unit`
| `Double_unit : udu (G_tuple G_unit G_unit).`

Definition `unit_twelve (x : udu G_unit) : nat :=`
 `match x in udu s0 return s0 = G_unit → nat with`
 | `Unit ⇒ fun eq0 ⇒ 12`
 | `_ ⇒ fun (neq : G_tuple G_unit G_unit = G_unit) ⇒`
 `ltac:(discriminate)`
 `end eq_refl.`

Problem

How to Correctly Translate OCaml GADTs as Coq Inductive Datatypes?

- ✓ GADTs
- ✓ Inductive Types (with dependent types)
 - Compiler Correctness

Problem

How to Correctly Translate OCaml GADTs as Coq Inductive Datatypes?

- ✓ GADTs
- ✓ Inductive Types (with dependent types)
 - Compiler Correctness
 - Specification of the Syntaxes
 - Specification of the Type Systems
 - Specification of the Translation
 - Proof of Type-Preservation

GADTml Syntax

s	$::=$	$\forall a.s \mid t$	<i>Types</i>
t, u	$::=$	$a \mid t \rightarrow t \mid t * t \mid T \bar{t}$	<i>Monotype</i>
e	$::=$	$x \mid \lambda x : t.e \mid e e$	<i>Expression</i>
		$\mid \Lambda a.e \mid e[t] \mid (e, e)$	
		$\mid \text{match } e \text{ with } \overline{\mid K \bar{x} \rightarrow e'}$	
dcl	$::=$	$\text{type } T \bar{a} := \overline{\mid K : \forall \bar{a}b. \bar{t} \rightarrow T \bar{a}}$	<i>ADT Declaration</i>
		$\mid \text{gadt } G \bar{a} := \overline{\mid K : \forall \bar{b}. \bar{t} \rightarrow G \bar{v}}$	<i>GADT Declaration</i>
p	$::=$	$\overline{dcl}; e$	<i>Program</i>

Figure: GADT_{ML} Syntax

GADTml Typing

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Sigma; \Gamma \vdash e : T \bar{u} \quad \Sigma; \Gamma \vdash t : * \\ \text{type } T \bar{a} := \overline{| K : \forall ab. \bar{t} \rightarrow T \bar{a} \in \Sigma} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma; \Gamma, \overline{a, b, x_i : t_i} \vdash e'_j : t \end{array} \right\}_{K_i} \end{array}}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash \text{match } e \text{ with } \overline{| K_i \bar{x}_i \rightarrow e' : t}} \text{(TYMATCH)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Sigma; \Gamma \vdash e : G \bar{u} \quad \Sigma; \Gamma \vdash t : * \\ \text{gadt } G \bar{a} := \overline{| K : \forall \bar{b}. \bar{t} \rightarrow G \bar{v} \in \Sigma} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma; \sigma_i(\Gamma, \overline{b, x_i : t_i}) \vdash e'_j : \sigma_i(t) \\ \sigma_i \equiv \text{unifies}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}_i) \neq \perp \end{array} \right\}_{K_i} \end{array}}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash \text{match } e \text{ with } \overline{| K_i \bar{x}_i \rightarrow e' : t}} \text{(TYGMATCH)}$$

GADTml Unification

$$\begin{aligned} \text{unifies}([\], [\]) &\triangleq [\] \\ \text{unifies}(x; \bar{t}, s; \bar{s}) &\triangleq [s/x]; \text{unifies}(\bar{t}[s/x], \bar{s}[s/x]) \\ \text{unifies}(t; \bar{t}, x; \bar{s}) &\triangleq [t/x]; \text{unifies}(\bar{t}[t/x], \bar{s}[t/x]) \\ \text{unifies}(T \bar{u}; \bar{t}, T \bar{v}; \bar{s}) &\triangleq \text{unifies}(\bar{u}; \bar{t}, \bar{v}; \bar{s}) \\ \text{unifies}(t_1 \rightarrow t_2; \bar{t}, s_1 \rightarrow s_2; \bar{s}) &\triangleq \text{unifies}(t_1; t_2; \bar{t}, s_1; s_2; \bar{s}) \\ \text{unifies}(_, _) &\triangleq \perp \end{aligned}$$

gCIC Syntax

T, e	$::=$	$x \mid \lambda x : A. e \mid e e \mid T \bar{v}$ $\mid \forall(a : A), t \mid \text{Set}$ $\mid \text{let } (x : t) = e \text{ in } e$ $\mid \text{match } e \text{ in } T \bar{a} \text{ return } t \text{ with}$ $\quad \overline{\mid K \bar{x} \Rightarrow e' \text{ end}}$	<i>Expressions</i>
$decl$	$::=$	$\text{Inductive } T \Xi : \Delta \rightarrow \text{Set} :=$ $\quad \overline{\mid K : \Delta \rightarrow T \bar{v}}$	<i>Inductive Types</i>
$prog$	$::=$	$decl; e$	<i>Program</i>

gCIC Typing

$$\frac{\text{Inductive } T \Xi : \Delta \rightarrow \text{Set} := \overline{| K : \Delta \rightarrow T \bar{v} \in \Sigma}}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash T \bar{u} \bar{v} : \text{Set}} \quad (\text{CTYTYFAM})$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Sigma; \Gamma \vdash e : T \bar{u} \\ \Sigma; \Gamma, \bar{a} : \Delta \vdash t : s \\ \text{Inductive } T \Xi : \Delta \rightarrow \text{Set} := \overline{| K : \Delta \rightarrow T \bar{v} \in \Sigma} \\ \{ \Sigma; \Gamma, \bar{x}_i : \Delta_i \vdash e'_i : t[\bar{u}_i/\bar{a}] \}_{\kappa_i} \end{array}}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash \text{match } e \text{ in } T \bar{a} \text{ return } t \text{ with } \overline{| K \bar{x} \Rightarrow e' \text{ end} : t[\bar{u}/\bar{a}]} \quad (\text{CTYMATCH})$$

Translation

The translation process is divided in three phases:

Translation

The translation process is divided in three phases:

1. Transpilation

- First translation into gCIC
- Gathers information about **GSet** variables into a mapping ξ

Translation

The translation process is divided in three phases:

1. Transpilation

- First translation into gCIC
- Gathers information about **GSet** variables into a mapping ξ

2. Embedding

- Moves necessary variables and declarations into **GSet**

Translation

The translation process is divided in three phases:

1. Transpilation

- First translation into gCIC
- Gathers information about **GSet** variables into a mapping ξ

2. Embedding

- Moves necessary variables and declarations into **GSet**

3. Repair

- Builds proof terms for casts and impossible branches

Transpilation Rules

Datatype Transpilation

$$\vdash \Sigma \rightsquigarrow \Sigma' \mid \xi_\Sigma$$

Variable Context Transpilation

$$\Sigma; \Delta \vdash \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma'$$

Type Transpilation

$$\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash t : * \rightsquigarrow_g t' \mid \xi$$

Expression Transpilation

$$\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash e : t \rightsquigarrow e' \mid \xi$$

Type Transpilation

Type Transpilation
 $\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash t : * \rightsquigarrow_g t \mid \xi$

Type Transpilation

$$\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash t : * \rightsquigarrow_g t \mid \xi$$

- Σ Map of datatype declarations
- Γ Map of variable types
- t Well-Kinded type being translated into t
- \rightsquigarrow_g Points under which context the translation is happening.
 - Δ if GSet
 - $*$ otherwise
- ξ GSet Context

Type Variable Transpilation

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash a : *}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash a : * \rightsquigarrow_* a \mid \{a : *\}}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash a : *}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash a : * \rightsquigarrow_{\Delta} a \mid \{a : \Delta\}}$$

GADT Pattern Matching Transpilation

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{gadT } G \bar{a} := | K : \forall \bar{b}. \bar{t} \rightarrow G \bar{v} \in \Sigma \\
 \Sigma; \Gamma \vdash e : G \bar{u} \rightsquigarrow e \mid \xi_e \quad \Sigma; \Gamma \vdash G \bar{u} \rightsquigarrow_* G \bar{u} \mid \xi_u \\
 \Sigma; \Gamma \vdash t : * \rightsquigarrow_* t \mid \xi_t \quad \Sigma; \Gamma, \bar{a}, \bar{b} \vdash \overline{v : * \rightsquigarrow_{\Delta} \bar{v}} \mid \xi_v \\
 \xi = (\bigsqcup \xi_i) \sqcup \xi_e \sqcup \xi_u \sqcup \xi_v \\
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma; \sigma_i(\Gamma, \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \overline{x_i : t_i}) \vdash e'_i : \sigma_i(t) \rightsquigarrow e'_i \mid \xi_i \\ \text{if } \sigma_i \equiv \text{unifies}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}_i) \not\equiv \perp \end{array} \right\} \Bigg|_{K_i} \begin{array}{l} e'_i = \text{False} \\ \text{if } \text{unifies}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}_i) \equiv \perp \end{array} \\
 \hline
 \Sigma; \Gamma \vdash \text{match } e \text{ with } \overline{K \bar{x} \rightarrow e'} \text{ end} : t \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{match } e \text{ in } G \bar{c} \\ \text{return } (\bar{c} \equiv \bar{u}) \rightarrow t \text{ with} \\ \overline{K \bar{x} \Rightarrow \lambda(h : v = u).e'} \\ \text{end } \underline{\text{eq_refl}} \end{array} \Bigg|_{\xi} \\
 \text{(TRANSGMATCH)}
 \end{array}$$

Running Example - Transpilation

```
gadt term a =  
  | T_Int : int -> term int  
  | T_Bool : bool -> term bool  
  | T_Pair : forall l r.  
    term l * term r -> term (l * r)  
  
λ (e : term nat) =>  
  match e with  
  | T_Int n -> n
```

Running Example - Transpilation

```
Inductive term : GSet → Set :=  
  | T_Int : nat → term nat  
  | T_Bool : bool → term bool  
  | T_Pair : ∀ (l : Set),  
    forall (r : Set), term l * term r → term (l * r)
```

```
λ (e : term nat).  
  match e in term c return c = nat → nat with  
  | T_Int n → λ (nat = nat). n  
  | T_Bool b → λ (bool = nat). False  
  | T_Pair l r p → λ (l * r = nat). False  
  end eq_refl
```


Running Example - Transpilation

Inductive term : GSet \rightarrow Set :=

| T_Int : nat \rightarrow term nat

| T_Bool : bool \rightarrow term bool

| T_Pair : \forall (l : Set),

forall (r : Set), term l * term r \rightarrow term (l * r)

$$\xi_{\Sigma} = [(T_Int, \emptyset); \\ (T_Bool, \emptyset); \\ (T_Pair, \{(l : \Delta), (r : \Delta)\})]$$

Running Example - Transpilation

```
λ (e : term nat).  
  match e in term c return c = nat → nat with  
  | T_Int n → λ (nat = nat). n  
  | T_Bool b → λ (bool = nat). False  
  | T_Pair l r p → λ (l * r = nat). False  
  end eq_refl
```

$$\xi = \{(l : \Delta), (r : \Delta)\}$$

ξ is a join-semilattice

We define a join operation $\xi_1 \sqcup \xi_2$

$\{a : *\} \sqcup \{a : \Delta\} = \{a : \Delta\}$, and therefore $\{a : *\} \leq \{a : \Delta\}$.

For different variables it behaves as regular set union

$\{a : *\} \sqcup \{b : \Delta\} = \{(a : *), (b : \Delta)\}$

Transpilation Lemma

Transpilation of expressions subsumes context of types

Lemma

*If $\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash t : * \rightsquigarrow_g t \mid \xi_t$ and $\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash e : t \rightsquigarrow e \mid \xi_e$ then $\xi_t \leq \xi_e$*

Translation

1. Transpilation ✓
2. Embedding
 - Moves necessary variables and declarations into **GSet**
3. Repair

Embedding Phase

$$g[-]_{\xi}^{\Gamma}$$

Embedding Function

$$\begin{aligned} *[\mathit{Set}]_{\xi}^{\Gamma} &= \mathit{Set} \\ \Delta[\mathit{Set}]_{\xi}^{\Gamma} &= \mathit{GSet} \\ *[\mathit{a}]_{\xi}^{\Gamma} &= \begin{cases} \mathit{decodeG} \ a & \text{if } (a : \Delta) \in \xi \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Embedding Phase

$$*[T \bar{u}]_{\xi}^{\Gamma} = T *[\bar{u}]_{\xi}^{\Gamma}$$

$$\Delta[T \bar{u}]_{\xi}^{\Gamma} = G_tconstr (\#\Sigma(T)) (T *[\bar{u}]_{\xi}^{\Gamma})$$

$$*[G \bar{u}]_{\xi}^{\Gamma} = G \Delta[\bar{u}]_{\xi}^{\Gamma}$$

$$\Delta[G \bar{u}]_{\xi}^{\Gamma} = G_tconstr (\#\Sigma(G)) (G \Delta[\bar{u}]_{\xi}^{\Gamma})$$

Running Example - Embedding

$$\begin{array}{l} * \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Inductive term : GSet} \rightarrow \text{Set} := \\ | \text{T_Int : nat} \rightarrow \text{term nat} \\ | \text{T_Bool : bool} \rightarrow \text{term bool} \\ | \text{T_Pair : } \forall (l : \text{Set}), \\ \quad \text{forall (r : Set), term l * term r} \rightarrow \text{term (l * r)} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \\ \xi \end{array} \\ = \end{array}$$

```
Inductive term : GSet → Set :=
| T_Int : nat → term (G_tconstr 0 nat)
| T_Bool : bool → term (G_tconstr 1 bool)
| T_Pair : ∀ (l : GSet), ∀ (r : GSet),
    term l * term r → term (G_tuple l r)
```

Running Example - Embedding

$$\begin{array}{l} \left[\begin{array}{l} \lambda (e : \text{term nat}). \\ \quad \text{match } e \text{ in term } c \text{ return } c = \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \text{ with} \\ \quad | \text{ T_Int } n \rightarrow \lambda (\text{nat} = \text{nat}). n \\ \quad | \text{ T_Bool } b \rightarrow \lambda (\text{bool} = \text{nat}). \text{False} \\ \quad | \text{ T_Pair } l r p \rightarrow \lambda (l * r = \text{nat}). \text{False} \\ \quad \text{end eq_refl} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \\ \\ \\ \\ \xi \end{array} \\ = \end{array}$$

```
 $\lambda (e : \text{term } (G\_tconstr\ 0\ \text{nat})).$   
  match e in term c return c = G_tconstr 0 nat → nat with  
  | T_Int n → λ (h : G_tconstr 0 nat = G_tconstr 0 nat). n  
  | T_Bool b → λ (h : G_tconstr 1 bool = G_tconstr 0 nat). False  
  | T_Pair l r p → λ (h : G_tuple 1 r = G_tconstr 0 nat). False  
  end eq_refl
```

Translation

1. Transpilation ✓
2. Embedding ✓
3. Repair
 - Builds proof terms for casts and impossible branches

Repair

Injective and Conflict Properties

Constructors are injective

$$K_{inj} : K \overline{e_1} = K \overline{e_2} \rightarrow \overline{e_1} = \overline{e_2}.$$

Injective and Conflict Properties

Constructors are injective

$$K_{inj} : K \overline{e_1} = K \overline{e_2} \rightarrow \overline{e_1} = \overline{e_2}.$$

Implemented by the `inversion` tactic in Coq

Injective and Conflict Properties

Constructors are injective

$$K_{inj} : K \bar{e}_1 = K \bar{e}_2 \rightarrow \overline{e_1 = e_2}.$$

Implemented by the `inversion` tactic in Coq

Constructors are disjoint

$$\text{conflict} : K_i \bar{e}_1 = K_j \bar{e}_2 \rightarrow \text{False} \text{ (where } K_i \neq K_j \text{)}$$

Injective and Conflict Properties

Constructors are injective

$$K_{inj} : K \bar{e}_1 = K \bar{e}_2 \rightarrow \overline{e_1 = e_2}.$$

Implemented by the `inversion` tactic in Coq

Constructors are disjoint

$$\text{conflict} : K_i \bar{e}_1 = K_j \bar{e}_2 \rightarrow \text{False} \text{ (where } K_i \neq K_j \text{)}$$

Implemented by the `discriminate` tactic in Coq

Repair Function

$$\Gamma, h : K \bar{x} = K \bar{y} \vdash_s e : t \triangleq \text{let } (\overline{h : x = y}) := K_{inj} h \text{ in } \Gamma, (\overline{h : x = y}) \vdash_s e : t$$

$$\Gamma, h : K_1 \bar{x} = K_2 \bar{y} \vdash_s e : t \triangleq \text{if } K_1 \neq K_2, \text{ False_ind (conflict h)}$$

Running Example - Repair

```
λ (e : term nat).
  match e in term c return c = G_tconstr 0 nat → nat with
  | T_Int n → λ (h : G_tconstr 0 nat = G_tconstr 0 nat). n
  | T_Bool b → λ (h : G_tconstr 1 bool = G_tconstr 0 nat).
    let (h1 : 1 = 0); (h2 : bool = nat) := K_inj h
    in False_ind (conflict h1)
  | T_Pair l r p → λ (h : G_tuple l r = G_tconstr 0 nat).
    False_ind (conflict h)
  end eq_refl
```

Kinding Preservation

Theorem (Type Translation Preserves Kinding)

If $\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash t : * \rightsquigarrow_g t \mid \xi$ and $\vdash \Sigma \rightsquigarrow \Sigma \mid \xi_\Sigma$ and $\Sigma \vdash \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma$ then
 $[\Sigma]_{\xi_\Sigma}; [\Gamma]_\xi \vdash \mathcal{G}[t]_\xi^\Gamma : \mathcal{G}[\text{Set}]_\xi^\Gamma$

Proof.

By induction on the derivation of the type transpilation

$\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash t : * \rightsquigarrow_g t \mid \xi.$ □

Type Preservation

Theorem (Expression Translation Preserves Typing)

If $\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash e : t \rightsquigarrow e \mid \xi$ and $\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash t : * \rightsquigarrow_* t \mid \xi_t$ and $\vdash \Sigma \rightsquigarrow \Sigma \mid \xi_\Sigma$
and $\Sigma \vdash \Gamma \rightsquigarrow \Gamma$ then $[\Sigma]_{\xi_\Sigma}; [\Gamma]_\xi \vdash * [e]_\xi^\Gamma : * [t]_\xi^\Gamma$.

Assuming that e doesn't have pattern matchings over datatypes that uses other GADTs as indices

Problem

How to Correctly Translate OCaml GADTs as Coq Inductive Datatypes?

- ✓ GADTs
- ✓ Inductive Types (with dependent types)
 - Compiler Correctness

Problem

How to Correctly Translate OCaml GADTs as Coq Inductive Datatypes?

- ✓ GADTs
- ✓ Inductive Types (with dependent types)
 - Compiler Correctness
 - ✓ Specification of the Syntaxes
 - ✓ Specification of the Type Systems
 - ✓ Specification of the Translation
 - ✓ Proof of Type-Preservation

Results

Table 3.1. Size of translated `Operation_Repr` functions

Function Name	OCaml LOC	Coq LOC
<code>reveal_case</code>	10	25
<code>transaction_case</code>	36	65
<code>origination_case</code>	30	47
<code>delegation_case</code>	11	31
<code>register_global_constant_case</code>	12	40
Total	99	208

In order to evaluate our implementation, we picked a representative GADT from the Michelson interpreter, namely `manager_operation`. This datatype is responsible for managing some operations performed by the nodes and smart contracts of the Tezos protocol, and its definition can be found in **`operation_repr.ml`**.

Implementation Caveats

We had to also implement how this translation interacts with other OCaml features, such as parametrized records and existentials

GADTs meets Records

```
type _ exp =  
  | E_Int : nat -> nat exp
```

```
type 'a my_record = {  
  x : 'a exp;  
  y : nat  
}
```

GADTs meets Records

```
Inductive exp : GSet → Set :=  
| E_Int : int → exp (t_constr 1 nat).
```

```
Record my_record {a : GSet} : Set := Build {  
  x : exp a;  
  y : int  
}.
```

Future Work

How to Correctly Translate OCaml GADTs as Coq Inductive Datatypes?

- Compiler Correctness
 - ✓ Specification of the Syntaxes
 - ✓ Specification of the Type Systems
 - ✓ Specification of the Translation
 - ✓ Proof of Type-Preservation
 - Specification of the Semantics
 - Specification of the cross-language relation
 - Proof of Semantics Preservation

Review

- We have implemented a translation of GADTs to Inductive Datatypes in Coq

Review

- We have implemented a translation of GADTs to Inductive Datatypes in Coq
- We have formalized a type system for a subset of OCaml (GADTml) and Coq (gCIC)

Review

- We have implemented a translation of GADTs to Inductive Datatypes in Coq
- We have formalized a type system for a subset of OCaml (GADTml) and Coq (gCIC)
- We proved that the translation of well typed expression in GADTml remains well typed in gCIC

Review

- We have implemented a translation of GADTs to Inductive Datatypes in Coq
- We have formalized a type system for a subset of OCaml (GADTml) and Coq (gCIC)
- We proved that the translation of well typed expression in GADTml remains well typed in gCIC
- We used our translation to remove all GADT-related axioms of a GADT datatype in the Michelson interpreter

Review

Problem Presentation

ADTs vs GADTs

Inductive Types

GADT \neq Inductive Types

GSet

Translation

Transpilation

Embedding

Repair

Results

Injective TCs + EM $\rightarrow \perp$

[Agda] Agda with excluded middle is inconsistent

Thorsten Altenkirch [txa at Cs.Nott.AC.UK](mailto:txa@cs.nott.ac.uk)

Thu Jan 7 11:30:41 CET 2010

- Previous message: [\[Agda\] Agda with excluded middle is inconsistent](#)
- Next message: [\[Agda\] Agda with excluded middle is inconsistent](#)
- Messages sorted by: [\[date\]](#) [\[thread\]](#) [\[subject\]](#) [\[author\]](#)

Dear Chung,

congratulations! I didn't know about this problem and I think it is a serious issue indeed. May

Surely, type constructors should not be injective in general. A definition of the form

```
data I : (Set -> Set) -> Set where
```

should be expandable by an anonymous declaration

```
I : (Set -> Set) -> Set
I F = data {}
```

in an analogous way a named function definition can be expanded by definition and a lambda abs'

<https://lists.chalmers.se/pipermail/agda/2010/001530.html>

Repair Rule for Type Cast

$$\Gamma, h : \tau = x \vdash_s e : t \triangleq$$

take all $(\overline{z : u}) \in \Gamma$, s.t $x \in u$,

$$\text{eq_rec } A \tau (\lambda (y : A). (\overline{u} \rightarrow t)[x/y])$$
$$(\lambda (\overline{z_0 : u[\tau/x]}). \Gamma[\overline{z_0/z}] - \{x\} \vdash_s e[\overline{z_0/z}] : t[\tau/x])$$
$$x \ h \ \overline{z}$$

Compiled Example with Typecast

```
gadt term a =  
  | T_Lift : forall a. a -> term a  
  | T_Int  : int  -> term int  
  | T_Bool : bool -> term bool  
  | T_Pair : forall l r.  
    term l * term r -> term (l * r)  
  
λ (e : term nat) =>  
  match e with  
  | T_Lift x -> x  
  | T_Int n -> n
```

Example with Type Cast

```
λ (e : term nat).
  match e in term c return c = G_tconstr 0 nat → nat with
  | T_Lift a x → λ (h : a = G_tconstr 0 nat).
    eq_rec A (G_tconstr 0 nat) (λ y ⇒ decodeG y → nat)
    (λ (z : decodeG (G_tconstr 0 nat)) ⇒ z) a (eq_sym h) x
  | T_Int n → λ (h : G_tconstr 0 nat = G_tconstr 0 nat). n
  | T_Bool b → λ (h : G_tconstr 1 bool = G_tconstr 0 nat).
    let (h1 : 1 = 0); (h2 : bool = nat) := K_inj h
    in False_ind (conflict h1)
  | T_Pair l r p → λ (h : G_tuple 1 r = G_tconstr 0 nat).
    False_ind (conflict h)
  end eq_refl
```