

# Verification of OCaml typing proofs

Pierrick Couderc

OCamlPro

U2IS, ENSTA ParisTech

Feb. 21, 2017

# Typedtrees as Typing Proofs

Motivations:

- Correctness of OCaml typing
- Detect regressions of OCaml typing

Idea:

- Check Typedtree as typing proofs
- Write a type checker as a type system, with soundness proof

# Typed trees

Typed tree node:  $\langle e : \tau \rangle$

- Expression:  $e$
- Inferred type:  $\tau$
- ~~Environment used for inference:  $\Gamma_{inf}$~~

Avoid reproducing possible bugs from the OCaml compiler. Compiler is designed for inference.

⇒ Use only data structures

## Specification as explicit as possible

Classical ML specification:

$$\text{App} \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau}$$

## Specification as explicit as possible

Classical ML specification:

$$\text{App} \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau}$$

Without sharing metavariables:

$$\text{App} \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 < \tau'_2 \rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau'_2 \equiv \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau' \equiv \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau}$$

## Replacing unification

Unification: Mainly designed for Inference, with

- Equivalence of types
- Instantiation of type schemes
- Matching on the form of types
- Generation of equations with GADTs
- Checking the scope of type constructors

# Equivalence

$$\Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$$

Structural type equality with expansion of abbreviations.

# Instantiation

$$\Gamma, \Phi, \theta \vdash \tau \leq \sigma \Rightarrow \theta'$$

- $\theta$ : Substitution from type variables to types

For example:

$$\Gamma, \Phi, \theta \vdash \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \leq (\forall \alpha.) \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \theta \oplus [\alpha \mapsto \text{int}]$$

## Instantiation

$$\text{Var} \frac{\text{let } \sigma_x = \Gamma.\text{Values}(x) \quad \Gamma, \Phi, \theta_{\text{nongen}}(\Gamma, x) \vdash \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \leq \sigma_x \Rightarrow \theta}{\Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rangle}$$

with

$$\Gamma.\text{Values}(x) = \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\theta_{\text{nongen}}(\Gamma, x) = \{\beta \mapsto \beta \mid \beta \in \text{fv}(\Gamma - x)\}$$

Needed due to the lack of explicit type schemes.

# Instantiation: checking rules

$$\text{Inst-Var-Unbound} \frac{\alpha \notin \text{dom}(\theta)}{\Gamma, \theta \vdash \tau \leq \alpha \Rightarrow \theta \oplus [\alpha \rightarrow \tau]}$$

$$\text{Inst-Var-Bound} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \alpha \in \text{dom}(\theta) \\ \text{let } \tau_\alpha = \theta(\alpha) \\ \Gamma, \theta \vdash \tau_\alpha \equiv \tau \end{array}}{\Gamma, \theta \vdash \tau \leq \alpha \Rightarrow \theta}$$

$$\text{Inst-Fun} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, \theta \vdash \tau_1 \leq \tau'_1 \Rightarrow \theta_1 \\ \Gamma, \theta_1 \vdash \tau_2 \leq \tau'_2 \Rightarrow \theta_2 \end{array}}{\Gamma, \theta \vdash \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \leq \tau'_1 \rightarrow \tau'_2 \Rightarrow \theta_2}$$

$$\text{Inst-Construct} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, \theta \vdash \tau_0 \leq \tau'_0 \Rightarrow \theta_0 \\ \forall i_{\geq 1}. \Gamma, \theta_{i-1} \vdash \tau_i \leq \tau'_i \Rightarrow \theta_i \end{array}}{\Gamma, \theta \vdash (\overline{\tau}) \text{ t} \leq (\overline{\tau'}) \text{ t} \Rightarrow \theta_n}$$

$$\text{Inst-Construct-Exp-Left} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{let } \tau = \text{expand}(\Gamma, \text{t}, \overline{\tau}) \\ \Gamma, \theta \vdash \tau \leq \tau' \Rightarrow \theta' \end{array}}{\Gamma, \theta \vdash (\overline{\tau}) \text{ t} \leq \tau' \Rightarrow \theta'}$$

$$\text{Inst-Construct-Exp-Right} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{let } \tau' = \text{expand}(\Gamma, \text{t}', \overline{\tau'}) \\ \Gamma, \theta \vdash \tau \leq \tau' \Rightarrow \theta' \end{array}}{\Gamma, \theta \vdash \tau \leq (\overline{\tau'}) \text{ t}' \Rightarrow \theta'}$$

## Type matching

$$\Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau < \tau'$$

- Check the term structure of type
- Introduce sub terms as meta-variables

For example

$$\text{App} \frac{\Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle e_1 : \tau_1 \rangle \quad \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle e_2 : \tau_2 \rangle \quad \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau_1 < \tau_d \rightarrow \tau_{cd} \quad \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv \tau_d \quad \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau_{cd} \equiv \tau}{\Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle e_1 e_2 : \tau \rangle}$$

## Generated equations for GADTs

```
type _ t = Int: int t | Bool: bool t

let f (type a) (x: a t) : a =
  match x with
    Int -> 0
  | Bool -> false
```

In OCaml, registered as abbreviations in environment:

- Int branch: **type** a = int
- Bool branch: **type** a = bool

## Generated equations for GADTs

```
type _ t = Int: int t | Bool: bool t
```

```
let f (type a) (x: a t) : a =
```

```
  match x with
```

```
    Int -> 0
```

```
  | Bool -> false
```

Type equalities as equivalence classes, handled by union-find.

- 1 a is registered as *rigid variable*, then  $\Phi(a) = \{a\}$ .
- 2 On Int branch,  $\Phi(a) = \Phi(\text{int}) = \{\text{int}, a\}$ .
- 3 On Bool branch,  $\Phi(a) = \Phi(\text{bool}) = \{\text{bool}, a\}$ .

## Checking the scope of type constructor

```
let l = ref [] (* level 1 *)  
  
type t = A | B (* level 2 *)  
  
(* Rejected, level 3 *)  
let _ = l := [ A ]
```

- In OCaml: levels easily check scope escaping of types.
- Without levels: wellformedness checking

## Unification: Wellformedness rules

$$\text{Wf-Var} \frac{\text{level}(\alpha) = \text{gen\_level}}{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \text{ wf}}$$

$$\text{Wf-Fun} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \text{ wf} \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau_2 \text{ wf}}{\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \text{ wf}}$$

$$\text{Wf-Construct} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{let } \tau_{\text{param}_0}, \dots, \tau_{\text{param}_n} = \Gamma(\mathbf{t}) \\ \forall i. C \vdash \tau_i \text{ wf} \quad \Gamma, \emptyset \vdash (\bar{\tau}) \mathbf{t} \leq (\overline{\tau_{\text{param}}}) \mathbf{t} \Rightarrow \theta \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash (\bar{\tau}) \mathbf{t} \text{ wf}}$$

## Checking patterns

$$\frac{\dots \quad \dots \quad \dots}{\Gamma, \Phi, \mathcal{V} \vdash \langle p : \tau \rangle \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}', \mathcal{T}', \Phi'}$$

Generate three components:

- Variables:  $\mathcal{V}$  (or  $(\overline{v : \tau})$ )
- Existential types:  $\mathcal{T}$  (or  $(\overline{\tau_{\exists}})$ )
- Equalities:  $\Phi$

## Checking patterns: Existential type constructor

```
type ex = Ex : 'a -> ex
```

```
let f x = match x with Ex v -> < g < v : a#0 > : unit >
```

a#0: generated at type inference

⇒ Retrieved by pattern checking

## Patterns: Example

$$\text{Pat-Tuple} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau < \tau_{p_0} * \dots * \tau_{p_n} \quad \Gamma, \Phi, \mathcal{V} \vdash \langle p_0 : \tau_0 \rangle \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{T}_0, \Phi_0 \\ \forall i_{\geq 1}. \Gamma, \Phi_{i-1}, \mathcal{V}_{i-1} \vdash \langle p_i : \tau_i \rangle \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{T}_i, \Phi_i \\ \forall i. \Gamma, \Phi_i \vdash \tau_{p_i} \equiv \tau_i \end{array}}{\Gamma, \Phi, \mathcal{V} \vdash \langle p_0, \dots, p_n : \tau \rangle \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{T}_0 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{T}_n, \Phi_n}$$

- 1  $\tau$  has tuple form.
- 2 Check sub-patterns.
- 3 Check equivalence of sub-patterns type against tuple components

# Typechecking Typedtree

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{Const} \frac{c : \tau}{\Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle c : \tau \rangle} \quad \text{Var} \frac{\Gamma, \Phi, \theta_{nongen}(\Gamma, x) \vdash \tau \leq \Gamma.\text{Values}(x) \Rightarrow \theta}{\Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle x : \tau \rangle} \\
 \\
 \text{Abs} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau < \tau_d \rightarrow \tau_{cd} \quad \forall i. \Gamma, \Phi, \emptyset \vdash \langle p_i : \tau_i \rangle \Rightarrow (\overline{v_i : \tau_{v_i}}, (\overline{\tau_{\exists_i}}), \Phi_i) \\ \forall i. \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau_d \equiv \tau_i \quad \forall i. \text{let } \Gamma_i = \Gamma \oplus_{\mathcal{V}} (\overline{v_i : \tau_{v_i}}) \oplus_{\mathcal{T}} (\overline{\tau_{\exists_i}}) \\ \forall i. \Gamma_i, \Phi_i \vdash \langle e_i : \tau'_i \rangle \quad \forall i. \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau'_i \text{ wf} \quad \forall i. \Gamma_i, \Phi_i \vdash \tau_{cd} \equiv \tau'_i \end{array}}{\Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle \text{function} \mid p \rightarrow e : \tau \rangle} \\
 \\
 \text{App} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle e_1 : \tau_1 \rangle \quad \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle e_2 : \tau_2 \rangle \\ \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau_1 < \tau_d \rightarrow \tau_{cd} \quad \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau_2 \equiv \tau_d \quad \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau_{cd} \equiv \tau \end{array}}{\Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle e_1 \ e_2 : \tau \rangle} \\
 \\
 \text{Construct} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \forall i. \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle e_i : \tau_i \rangle \\ \text{let } (\tau_{argo}, \dots, \tau_{arg_n}, \tau_{constr}) = \text{find\_constructor}(\Gamma, \Phi, T, \tau) \\ \Gamma, \Phi, \emptyset \vdash \tau_0 \leq \tau_{argo} \Rightarrow \theta_0 \quad \forall i_{\geq 1}. \Gamma, \Phi, \theta_{i-1} \vdash \tau_i \leq \tau_{arg_i} \Rightarrow \theta_i \\ \text{let } \tau_{inst} = \theta_n(\tau_{constr}) \quad \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau_{inst} \equiv \tau \end{array}}{\Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle T(e_0, \dots, e_n) : \tau \rangle}
 \end{array}$$

## Typechecking Typedtree: Match case in detail

$$\text{Match} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle e_s : \tau_s \rangle \quad \forall i. \Gamma, \Phi, \Sigma \vdash \langle p_i : \tau_i \rangle \Rightarrow (\overline{v_i : \tau_i}), (\overline{\tau_{\exists_i}}), \Phi_i \\ \forall i. \text{let } \Gamma_i = \Gamma \oplus_{\mathcal{V}} (\overline{v_i : \tau_i}) \oplus (\overline{\tau_{\exists_i}}) \quad \forall i. \Gamma, \Phi_i \vdash \tau_s \equiv \tau_i \\ \forall i. \Gamma_i, \Phi_i \vdash \langle e_i : \tau_{e_i} \rangle \quad \forall i. \Gamma, \Phi \vdash \tau_{e_i} \text{ wf} \quad \forall i. \Gamma_i, \Phi_i \vdash \tau \equiv \tau_{e_i} \end{array}}{\Gamma, \Phi \vdash \langle \text{match } e_s \text{ with } \overline{p \rightarrow e} : \tau \rangle}$$

## Type system implementation

- Covers a large subset of OCaml 4.02: GADTs, polymorphic variants, modules.

Not checked: Objects and classes, recursive modules, variance.

- Implementation in OCaml
  - ~ **5 kLoC** purely functional (OCaml corresponding subset: ~ **12.5 kLoC**)
  - Except data structures, no sharing of code with OCaml compiler library
- Some bugs checked from mantis reports: 6992 (GADT + contractivity in functor argument), 7222 (existential constructor out of scope)

## Formalization and type soundness

Reimplemented in Coq, for type soundness.

- Locally nameless representation of variables with cofinite quantification (Engineering Formal Metatheory, [Aydemir, Charguéraud et al.])
  - ⇒ Common ground with previous works
    - Charguéraud: ML + Ref + Exceptions + ADTs
    - Garrigue: ML + Recursive types + Polymorphic Variants
- Explicit quantification of type scheme
  - ⇒ Easier formalization of instantiation
- Goal: ML + Exceptions + GADTs

## Typedtree: Operational semantics

Small step semantics by substitution of bound variables.

For example:

```
< let < f < x : 'a > : 'a -> 'a > = < x : 'a > in  
<< f : int -> int > < 0: int > : int > : int >
```

reduces as

```
<< fun < x : int > -> < x : int > : int -> int > < 0: int > : int >
```

## Conclusion

- Considering Typedtrees as typing proofs
- Sharing as less as possible of code with OCaml compiler
- Type checking discipline as formal specification of type system
- Implementation in OCaml:

`github.com/OCamlpro/ocp-typechecker`

## Conclusion

- Considering Typedtrees as typing proofs
- Sharing as less as possible of code with OCaml compiler
- Type checking discipline as formal specification of type system
- Implementation in OCaml:

`github.com/OCamlpro/ocp-typechecker`

### Ongoing work:

- Implementation and formalization in Coq
- Operational semantics of the Typedtree
- Type soundness of a subset of OCaml